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NAME
HISTORIC: Drawing Building
AND/OR COMMON: Naval Architecture Building

LOCATION
STREET & NUMBER: University of California
CITY, TOWN: Berkeley
STATE: California

CLASSIFICATION
CATEGORY: DISTRICT
OWNERSHIP: X PUBLIC
STATUS: _OCCUPIED
PRESENT USE: _AGRICULTURE

OWNER OF PROPERTY
NAME: Regents of the University of California
STREET & NUMBER: 2200 University Avenue
CITY, TOWN: Berkeley
STATE: California

LOCATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION
COURTHOUSE, REGISTRY OF DEEDS, ETC: Alameda County Courthouse
STREET & NUMBER: 1225 Fallon Street
CITY, TOWN: Oakland
STATE: California

REPRESENTATION IN EXISTING SURVEYS
TITLE: none
DATE: _FEDERAL _STATE _COUNTY _LOCAL
DEPOSITORY FOR SURVEY RECORDS: CITY, TOWN: STATE
The Drawing Building is situated on the northern edge of the University of California, Berkeley, campus near the intersection of Hearst and Euclid Avenues. The site which directly adjoins the Architecture Building (1906) slopes gently up hill to the east along Hearst Avenue. The long dimension of the building lies on an east west axis above the Architecture Building and together the two buildings present a unified shingle street scape along Hearst Avenue. This architectural enclave surrounded by its foliage of mature eucalyptus, oak, poplar and pine trees forms one of the few remaining rustic environments on the University campus.

The Drawing Building is in excellent condition for a structure of its age and type. It measures approximately 40 feet by 120 feet, being architecturally an unusually fine pragmatic realization in wood frame post and beam construction sheathed in unstained cedar shingles. The form of the building consists of a two story western portion stepping up one-half level with the slope of the site to a three story eastern portion. The roof is gabled with a ridge slightly offset to the north giving greater height to the studio windowed north wall.

The northern wall, designed to give the interior spaces maximum lighting, is dominated by a nearly continuous expanse of multiple-paned double-hung sash grouped in the western portion of the facade in two rows of thirteen each and in the eastern portion in three rows of ten, manifesting the large studio spaces for which the Drawing Building was built. This impressive expanse of glass is interrupted only in the center of the facade where several smaller individual windows reflect the lavatories and janitorial rooms within.

In contrast with the expansive glazing of the studios along the northern length of the building, the fenestration on the southern facade in its irregular pattern of shapes and placements reflects such uses as small offices, stairways and halls. Also placed within the south wall are two handsome and identical entrances, one at the eastern end and the other at the juncture where the two story portion of the building meets the three story portion. The entrances are projected from the facade under classic gabled roofs and enclosed on three sides with heavy wood frames and glazed panels.

A third entrance distinguishes the short western facade reached from a short flight of outside steps. Although this entrance itself is without detail, it is magnificently enhanced overhead by a protruding Georgian Revival pediment adorning a small parade balcony on the second floor above. It is interesting to note that this balcony mirrors a smaller parade balcony diagonally on the parallel facing wall of the adjacent Architecture Building.

The interior post and beam structure of the Drawing Building has been reinforced with knee braces in acknowledgement of the great extent of window area in the north wall. The posts are spaced in regular intervals and are exposed throughout. Another characteristic of the building, one shared with its site
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ARCHITECTURAL:
Although John Galen Howard is best known for the formal classically derived buildings which dominate many areas of the University of California, Berkeley campus and were the stylistic outgrowth of his training at the Ecole des Beaux Arts, he did a handful of superb designs which place him firmly in the woodsy mannerist regional mode known as the Bay Area Tradition. The Bay Area Tradition is not so much a style as a highly self-conscious method of combining local vernacular technology and imagery with fragments of architectural fashions current at the time; this creates a complex series of cross-cultural visual references. The Bay Tradition has not been continuously present, but has been a cyclically recurring design philosophy. It evolved in the early 1890's in the work of designers a generation older than Howard: Ernest Coxhead, Bernard Maybeck, A.C. Schweinfurth, Willis Polk and others. It reappeared in a deliberately anti-heroic manifestation in the late 1920's in the work of William Wurster and his students and associates: Garder Daily, Mario Corbett, Joseph Esherick, etc.; the work of Charles Moore and William Turnbull, Esherick Homsey Dodge and Davis, Richard Peters and the AGORA group represent a distinct third phase of this tradition. Most of these figures from the second and third phases of the Bay Area Tradition were either students or faculty at the University of California; Howard's Architecture Building and Drawing Building have been important both visually and philosophically in their work.

The Drawing Building displays both the various design sources and the forthright acceptance of complex or contradictory programme requirements, which are the distinctive concerns of the Bay Area Tradition. The utilitarian forms of the north wall derived from the early industrial forms of the Eastern Seaboard; their sheathing in natural-finish shingles is both humanizing and contradictorily rustic. In formal terms the north wall's function is strictly public: it defines the street and creates a dignified (but non-monumental) image appropriate to an institutional structure. The south wall, which is the campus side, the side seen and used by students themselves, is casual, informal, even homely. The north side reveals the regular and repetitive studio spaces; the south side's irregularly sized and placed windows hint at the complex series of variegated spaces which serve them and which function as a connector between the regular, almost formal elements and the irregular, sloping site. The ridge line is not centered but is placed closer to the street; this allows a larger scale conforming to the street on the building's north side and lower walls enhancing the more residential qualities of the south side. There are stylistic references to such divergent styles as the Georgian Revival and the craftsman bungalow. The building is thus a series of seemingly contradictory things:
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evidenced in the entries and stairways where it is contrasted with the non-bearing partitions smooth clad in stained fir tongue and groove siding.

Prior to 1930, the Drawing Building extended another studio length of two floors toward the east. This section was removed in 1930 for the Engineering Materials Laboratory (1931). A third entrance, on the west facade, was added by 1930, replacing a window area. Over the years some of the studios within the building have been comfortably partitioned to accommodate to curriculum and departmental changes. Currently the Drawing Building is used for the Offices of the College of Engineering Interdisciplinary Studies Center and Department of Naval Architecture, making good use of the studio spaces for drafting purposes, and is called the Naval Architecture Building.
it is formal and casual, sophisticated and straightforward, urbane and rustic, institutional and residential. As fascinating as the building is, it must not be considered alone, but in connection with the Architecture Building immediately to the west which shares many of its design attributes. The two buildings together create an atmospheric street scape, a welcoming entrance and symbolic gesture from "gown" to "town" which is much stronger than either building separately. This relationship was clearer at the time the buildings were built; the hilly streets to the north of campus were lined with Berkeley's well-known brown shingled houses (many designed by Maybeck, by Coxhead, and by Howard himself). Howard's wood vernacular campus buildings were an effective transition in scale, form and materials between the city and the University. That pressures for higher density and institutional growth have effectively obliterated these neighborhoods and deprived the Drawing Building and Architecture Building of their ambassadorial function is distressing; but it has perhaps increased their importance as a remnant of an intellectual and aesthetic milieu whose architectural legacy has largely disappeared.

John Beach, Architectural Historian

EDUCATIONAL:

It would be difficult to find other buildings on the Berkeley campus richer in important historical associations than the Drawing Building. Here pioneering departments were established, major new scholarly and artistic approaches developed, and generations of distinguished teachers and their students found a congenial environment.

Drawing Building (and Architecture Building): Cradle of the School of Architecture 1914-1923. The Drawing Building was built in connection with the founding of the School of Architecture in 1913/14 by which Berkeley played its part at the forefront of the general movement to raise professional, technical and artistic standards of American architects. The great model was the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in Paris. John Galen Howard had been a brilliant student at the Ecole and was the founder of both the Department of Architecture (1903) and the newly autonomous School of Architecture which offered a two year graduate program leading to a professional degree. University architect, teacher, and leader within the Educational Committees of the American Institute of Architects and the Society of Beaux-Arts Architects, Howard guided the new School of Architecture within a short time to a position rivaling that of the older schools at Harvard, MIT, Columbia and Cornell. In line with the Beaux-Arts emphasis on excellence in drawing and drafting, a space was needed for a curriculum which, according to early catalogues, included art anatomy, copying from models of classical antiquity, graphostatics, stereotomy, descriptive geometry, and perspective in the media of pencil, watercolor and pen and ink. The Drawing Building was thus designed by Howard accordingly as a workshop for training the new generation of architects (continued on next page)
in the techniques and standards of the movement. Trained under Howard's tenure from 1903 to 1927 were many of the most important Bay Area architects - John Hudson Thomas, Henry Gutterson, John Reid, William Wurster and Vernon DeMars.

Something of the building's impact on students of architecture can be judged by a statement of the eminent Bay Area architect Joseph Esherick who, asked to list in print "America's proudest architectural achievements" for the July 1976 AIA Journal, singled out the Drawing Building and the Architecture Building for special praise.

_**Birthplace of the Art Department, 1923-1930.**_ The Drawing Building was to play a different but once again important role with the establishment of the autonomous Art Department in 1923. This was a milestone because it broadened the educational range of the Berkeley campus and generated a pioneering approach to the teaching of art. The first chairman was Eugen Neuhaus, well known landscape artist in the California Decorative Style, noted author, and brilliant teacher. Another major California artist and influential teacher who worked in the Drawing Building was Worth Ryder under whose guidance a new system of teaching was evolved emphasizing fundamental principles of visual design independent of any historical style.

_**Engineering Design Building, 1930-1951.**_ Still adaptable to new needs, the Drawing Building now began to serve the growing engineering community with its complex of buildings in this part of the campus. Despite the removal of the east end of the building in 1930, the superb north-lighted studios continued to house drafting functions. The building became a technical training center for both Loth architects and engineers.

_**First Home of the Department of City and Regional Planning, 1951-1964.**_ Yet again the Drawing Building sheltered a new and innovative department when T.J. Kent moved the recently founded Department of City and Regional Planning there in 1951. This department was one of the first in the nation to consider architectural planning on a urban and regional scale. Professor Kent has described the departments first permanent home as a "handsome, functional and emotionally rewarding environment". He adds, "I have no doubt that the 150 or so graduates of the University's city planning program who worked with us in the building during these years, 1951-1964, were influenced in major ways by the quality of this superb John Galen Howard building".

_Continuation of a Tradition, Naval Architecture Building, 1964-present._ True to what by now was its tradition the Drawing Building in 1964 became the seat of a new department, Naval Architecture. Once again, like its predecessors in the building, this group of scholars formulated a novel approach. Based on a more
theoretical orientation than the earlier practical/trade tradition, Naval Architecture at Berkeley revolutionized the field.

In summary, not only is the Drawing Building a distinguished and rare example within the work of John Galen Howard's Bay Tradition mode, it has been also the scene of the work of major architects, artists, engineers and city planners, and time and again the home of new innovative departments whose approaches have radiated from the Drawing Building to set the standards in the fields.

Loren Partridge, Department of Art History
Randolph Starn, Department of History
University of California, Berkeley
APPLICATION REQUESTING DESIGNATION FOR LANDMARK STATUS

1. Name of Property: Naval Architecture Building
2. Building No.: UC-Berkeley Campus
3. County: Alameda
4. City: Berkeley
5. Street: See attachment A for location
6. Vicinity (if rural): UC-Berkeley: Building presently houses 4 activities, Department of Naval Arch., Interdisciplinary Studies Center, student lounge or offices
7. Present Occupant: Regents of the University of California
8. Present Owner: Contact person: Ira S. Pink, 469 University Hall, Berkeley 94720 642-3150
9. Original Owner (if known): Regents of the University of California
10. Date of Construction: 1914
11. Style: Bay Area Tradition
12. Architect/Builder: John Galen Howard
13. Original Use: Drawing Arts Building
14. Historic Value: National, State, County, City, Neighborhood
15. Architectural Value: National, State, County, City, Neighborhood
16. Notable Garden or Landscaping: Yes
17. Photographs: Contemporaneous
18. Dates: September 1976
19. Photographic Repository: LPC Archives
21. Lot Size: Frontage
23. Adjacent Property Zoning Status: C-1, R-5
24. Present Use: Residential (single family, multiple family)
25. Store: Public
26. Other: Specify class rooms, student lounge
27. Specimen plantings between 1870 and 1890, Calif. Black Oaks in a group in this area are only
26. Assessed Property Value: current 5 yrs. ago 10 yrs. ago
University of California property is not assessed
27. Present Condition of Property: exterior: excellent x good fair poor
   interior: excellent good x fair poor
   grounds: excellent good fair poor
28. Property Endangered: yes x no source, if yes UC asks to demolish in ord
to construct an engineering center on this site
29. Potential New Property Uses: see above
30. History: Briefly describe the historical significance of this propert
   See attached

31. Architecture: Briefly describe the appearance of this structure. Indicate notable features as well as later alterations and changes. Include notable landscaping or natural features.
   See attached

32. Location Map (nearest intersection or permanent landmark)
33. Photograph (Contact print from 35mm black and white photo)
   See attachment A for location

34. Additional Comments or Continuation of Previous Answers
   There is also attached (Attachment B), a listing prepared by the University, of the structures presently on the UC-Berkeley campus designed by Mr. Howard. Of these 23 structures, only 4 are in the same style and scale as the Naval Architecture Building. The 4 count includes Naval Architecture.

35. Recorder: Name Shirley Dean Title Councilmember
    Address Berkeley City Hall Date 9-14-75
    Berkeley, Ca 94704
John Galen Howard, architect of the Drawing Arts Building, was an Eastener who had received his architectural training at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and at the Ecole de Beaux Arts in Paris. He worked in the finest and most fashionable architectural offices of his day: for McKim, Mead and White, for H.H. Richardson, and for Richardson's successors Shapley, Rutan and Coolidge. Howard's opportunity to settle in California came when he was offered the job supervising implementation of the University of California campus plan. Howard's own proposal had placed fifth in Phoebe Apperson Hearst's international competition for a campus plan, but Emile Benard, the first prize winner, refused to leave his native France and Howard was chosen to replace him.

Howard's best known buildings (UC's Hearst Mining Building, Doe Library, Wheeler Hall and various residential and commercial structures in the East Bay and San Francisco) are styled in the Academic Classicism traditionally employed by students at the Ecole de Beaux Arts. But Howard also created a handful of superb and important buildings which place him firmly in the woodsy mannerist regional design mode known as the Bay Area Tradition.

The Bay Area Tradition is not so much a style as an attitude to design, a highly self-conscious method of combining local vernacular, technology and image with fragments of architectural fashions of the period as well as carefully selected pieces of the past. This creates a complex series of cross-cultural visual references which attempt to express the complexity of the (partly mythic) California experience. Ernest Coxhead, Bernard Maybeck, A.C. Schwinfurth, Willis Polk and others who were collectively responsible for evolving this mode were all, like Howard, not native to California. They were concerned (despite their widely varied cultural, architectural and educational backgrounds) with creating an uniquely California design response. Their buildings from 1890 on were an obvious inspiration, visually and philosophically, for such Howard designs as the Drawing Arts Building, the Architecture Building and his three houses for the Gregory family in the Berkeley hills.

The presence of the Bay Area Tradition has been cyclical rather than continuous. It reappeared in the late 1920's in a deliberately anti-heroic manifestation in the work of W.W. Wurster; continuing through his own later work and that of his students and associates: Gardner Dailey, Mario Corbett, Michael Goodman and the early work of Joseph Esherick. The work of Chas. Moore and Wm. Turnbull of Esherick, Homsey, Dodge and Davis, of Richard Paters, and of the Agora Group represents a distinct third phase of this tradition. Most of these figures from the second and third phases of the Bay Area Tradition were either students or faculty at the University of California-Berkeley. Howard's Drawing Arts Building and Architecture Building have been important influences on their later work.

The Drawing Arts Building displays the varied design sources and the forthright acceptance of complex or contradictory programme requirements which are distinctive aspects of the Bay Area Tradition. The utilitarian forms of the north wall derive from the early industrial buildings of the Eastern Seaboard; their sheathing in natural finish shingles is both humanizing and contradictorily rustic. There is a contrast in function between the two main facades of the building; the formal purpose of the north wall is a strictly public one, that of defining the street
and creating a dignified (but non-monumental) image appropriate to an institutional structure, and the South Wall, which faces the campus rather than the street, is the side used by the students themselves and is casual, informal, and even homey. The glazing of the north side reveals the regular and repetitive studio spaces; the south side's irregularly sized and placed windows hint at the complex series of variagated spaces which serve them, and which function as a transition between the regular, almost formal elements and the irregular sloping site. The ridgeline is not centered, but is placed closer to the street. This allows a higher wall simultaneously allowing more light for the studios and emphasizing the public scale of the north side; the roof thus sweeps lower on the south enhancing the more residential qualities of that facade. There are references in the building to such diverse styles as the Georgian Revival and the Craftsman Bungalow. The building is an interlocked series of seemingly contradictory things: it is both formal and casual; sophisticated and straight-forward; urbane and rustic; institutional and residential. But as fascinating as the building is in itself, it should not be considered alone. The Drawing Arts Building shares many design qualities with the Architecture Building immediately to its west, and the two structures together create an atmospheric streetscape for Berkeley, a welcoming entrance for the University of California campus and a symbolic gesture from gown to town much stronger than the sum of its parts.

The Drawing Arts Building would seem then to deserve the recognition of landmark status on a number of grounds: (applicable City of Berkeley Landmark Preservation Ordinance references are cited)

- as a notable work of a recognized master, John Galen Howard; as an outstanding example of the Bay Area Tradition design mode (3.1, a (2));

- as an important visual landmark of the Berkeley cityscape (3.1, a (3));

- for its role in the formation of nationally and internationally famous artists, architects and city planners (3.1,b);

- for its educational role in two senses: as an envelope for the educational process and for that visual exposure which is a large part of the educational process for young designers (3.1, c);

- as a visual reminder of the process by which the University of California grew and of the traditional relationship between those who have taught and attended the University and the general cultural development of the City of Berkeley (3.1,d).

John Beach, Chairperson
Berkeley Landmarks Preservation Commission
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University of California, Berkeley

Barrow Lane Gallery (1904)
California Hall (1905)
California Memorial Stadium (1923)
 Doe Memorial Library (1913)
 Durant Hall (1912)
 Driscoll Annex (1920)
 Gilman Hall (1917)
 Haviland Hall (1924)
 Hearst Greek Theatre (1903)
 Hearst Memorial Mining Building (1907)
 Hesse Hall (1924)
 Hilgard Hall (1918)
 LeConte Hall (1924)
 Naval Architecture Building (1914)
 Northgate Hall (1906)
 Sather Gate and Bridge (1913)
 Sather Tower (1914)
 Senior Men's Hall (1906)
 South Hall Annex (1913)
 Stephens Hall (1923)
 Wellman Hall (1913)
 Wheeler Hall (1918)
 Women's Faculty Club (1923)
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